### **Public Document Pack**



# Overview and Scrutiny

Committee

Mon 9 June 2025 6.30 pm



Oakenshaw Community Centre, Castleditch Lane, Redditch, B98 7YB

### If you have any queries on this Agenda please contact Mat Sliwinski

### Town Hall, Walter Stranz Square, Redditch, B98 8AH Tel: (01527) 64252 (Ext. 3095)

Email: mateusz.sliwinski@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk

### **GUIDANCE ON FACE-TO-FACE MEETINGS**

Please note that this is a public meeting.

You are able to see the agenda for this meeting from the Committee Pages of the Council's website.

If you have any questions regarding the agenda or attached papers, please do not hesitate to contact the officer named above.

GUIDANCE FOR ELECTED MEMBERS AND PUBLIC ATTENDING MEETINGS IN PERSON

Meeting attendees are encouraged not to attend a Committee if they have any of the following common symptoms of flu / covid on the day of the meeting; a high temperature, a new and continuous cough or a loss of smell and / or taste.

#### **PUBLIC SPEAKING**

The usual process for public speaking at Committee meetings will continue to be followed subject to some adjustments which allow written statements to be read out on behalf of residents and the virtual participation of residents at meetings of Overview and Scrutiny Committee. If you wish to register to speak, please contact the officer named above by 12 noon two working days before the meeting (by Thursday 5 June at noon).

### Notes:

Although this is a public meeting, there are circumstances when the Committee might have to move into closed session to consider exempt or confidential information. For agenda items that are exempt, the public are excluded.



Monday, 9th June, 2025 6.30 pm Oakenshaw Community Centre

### Agenda

### Membership:

Cllrs: Matthew Dormer

(Chair)

Craig Warhurst (Vice-Chair) William Boyd Claire Davies

James Fardoe

Andrew Fry Sachin Mathur Rita Rogers Paul Wren

### 1. Apologies and Named Substitutes

### 2. Declarations of Interest and of Party Whip

To invite Councillors to declare any Disclosable Pecuniary Interests and / or Other Disclosable Interests they may have in items on the agenda, and to confirm the nature of those interests, and any Party Whip.

### **3. Minutes** (Pages 7 - 18)

The minutes of the meeting of Overview and Scrutiny Committee from 12 May 2025 will be considered at this meeting.

### 4. Public Speaking

To invite members of the public who have registered in advance of the meeting to speak to the Committee.

### 5. Health Inequalities in Redditch - Public Health Presentation

Presentation slides for this item will be published on the day of the meeting.

### 6. Shareholders' Committee Annual Report - Pre-Scrutiny

The report will follow in a supplementary pack for this meeting after it has been published for the meeting of Executive Committee (due for publication on Monday 2 June).

### 7. Housing Regulator Tenant Satisfaction Measures - Pre-Scrutiny

The report will follow in a supplementary pack for this meeting after it has been published for the meeting of Executive Committee (due for publication on Monday 2 June).

### 8. Housing Regulator Self-Assessment Complaint Handling Code - Pre-Scrutiny

The report will follow in a supplementary pack for this meeting after it has been published for the meeting of Executive Committee (due for publication on Monday 2 June).

### 9. Executive Committee's Work Programme - Selecting Items for Scrutiny

The latest version of the Executive Committee Work Programme (Forward Plan) will be published in a supplementary pack on Monday 2 June 2025.

### **10.** Overview and Scrutiny Work Programme (Pages 19 - 22)

### 11. Task Groups, Short Sharp Reviews and Working Groups - Update Reports

- a) Budget Scrutiny Working Group Chair, Councillor Warhurst
- b) Performance Scrutiny Working Group Chair, Councillor Warhurst
- c) Fly Tipping and Bulky Waste Task Group Chair, Councillor Dormer
- d) Post-16 Education Task Group Chair, Councillor Warhurst

### 12. External Scrutiny Bodies - Update Reports

- a) West Midlands Combined Authority (WMCA) Overview and Scrutiny Committee Council Representative, Councillor Boyd;
- b) West Midlands Combined Authority (WMCA) Transport Delivery Overview and Scrutiny Committee Council Representative, Councillor Fardoe; and
- c) Worcestershire Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee (HOSC) Council Representative, Councillor Fry.

### 13. Exclusion of the Public and Press

Should it be necessary, in the opinion of the Chief Executive, during the course of the meeting to consider excluding the public from the meeting on the grounds that exempt information is likely to be divulged, it may be necessary to move the following resolution:

"That, under S.100 (A) (4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following matter(s) on the grounds that it/they involve(s) the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in the relevant paragraphs (to be specified) of Part 1 of Schedule 12 (A) of the said Act".

These paragraphs are as follows:

Subject to the "public interest" test, information relating to:

Monday, 9th June, 2025

- Para 1 any individual;
- Para 2 the identity of any individual;
- Para 3 <u>financial or business affairs</u>;
- Para 4 <u>labour relations matters</u>;
- Para 5 <u>legal professional privilege</u>;
- Para 6 a notice, order or direction;
- Para 7 the <u>prevention</u>, investigation or <u>prosecution</u> of <u>crime</u>;
   and may need to be considered as 'exempt'.

### 14. Disposal of Housing Revenue Account Assets - 53 Parsons Road, Southcrest, Redditch. 53 Crabbs Cross Lane, Crabbs Cross, Redditch - Pre-Scrutiny

The report will follow in a supplementary pack for this meeting after it has been published for the meeting of Executive Committee (due for publication on Monday 2 June).



### Public Downent Pack Agenda Item 3



# Overview and

Monday, 12th May, 2025

### **Scrutiny**

Committee

### **MINUTES**

#### Present:

Councillor Matthew Dormer (Chair), Councillor Craig Warhurst (Vice-Chair) and Councillors William Boyd, Andrew Fry, Sachin Mathur, David Munro, Rita Rogers and Paul Wren

### **Also Present:**

Councillor Sharon Harvey – Deputy Leader of the Council

#### Officers:

Guy Revans, Rachel Egan, Neil Batt and Mike Dunphy

#### **Democratic Services Officers:**

M Sliwinski

### 92. APOLOGIES AND NAMED SUBSTITUTES

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Kane.

### 93. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST AND OF PARTY WHIP

There were no declarations of interest nor of party whip.

### 94. MINUTES

The minutes of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee meeting held on 10<sup>th</sup> March 2025 were submitted for Members' consideration.

#### **RESOLVED that**

the Minutes of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee meeting held on 10<sup>th</sup> March 2025 be approved as a true and correct record and signed by the Chair.

### 95. PUBLIC SPEAKING

Committee

Monday, 12th May, 2025

There were no public speakers who had registered to speak at this meeting.

### 96. REDDITCH LOCAL PLAN - PRE-SCRUTINY

The report on Redditch Local Plan Issues and Options Consultation was presented. It was remarked that the Issues and Options Consultation would be the first stage in the development of the new Redditch Local Plan and, if endorsed by full Council, would be released for a six-week public consultation period. The Issues and Options Consultation followed the Local Development Scheme (LDS) which had set out the timeline for Local Plan development.

The purpose of the Issues and Options consultation was to consult the public, statutory consultees and a range of stakeholders on what the new Local Plan should contain. This consultation was less detailed than future consultations to be held through the planmaking process (such as a 'Preferred Option' consultation) and as such the Council had some discretion over the format of this consultation. It was commented that the consultation document at Appendix A was designed by officers so that it was concise and clear to understand but contained all the necessary information.

The Strategic Planning and Conservation Manager provided an overview of the sections contained within the Issues and Options consultation document. Sections 1-3 provided background information on the Borough and the Local Plan process, section 4 detailed the reasons why the Local Plan review was required and what the process would be for its development. Section 6 contained the details of the 'call for sites' exercise which enabled developers and landowners to submit potential development sites which they wished to be considered for inclusion in the Local Plan review.

Sections 7 and 9-15 contained consultation questions. Section 7 covered the design coding which defined requirements for the physical development of the area. The detailed design code would be produced later in the Local Plan period and would be informed by the responses from this Issues and Options consultation. Section 9 looked at the key issues identified for Redditch Borough based on evidence base documents such as the Sustainability Appraisal scoping report, the Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessment (HEDNA) and conversations held with elected members including through Planning Advisory Panel (PAP) meetings.

Committee

Monday, 12th May, 2025

Section 10 covered the possible growth options, looking at possible broad locations for development based on the characteristics of Redditch Borough. It was noted that the growth strategy would be informed by what sites were available for development, the suitability of potential sites submitted through the Call for Sites exercise and on what the evidence suggested were the locations most sustainable for new development. The government-set house building target would also need to be considered which for Redditch Borough was now 485 new houses per year.

It was highlighted that among the changes in the revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was the introduction of 'grey belt', defined as 'land in the Green Belt comprising previously developed land and/or any other land." This had potential implications in that some land in Redditch, which was currently protected by the Green Belt or in countryside, could be considered for development.

The Strategic Planning and Conservation Manager reported that for this consultation, the Council would be using an online consultation platform called

'Commonplace', which allowed consultees to choose the sections of the consultation document they wished to fill out and which had features such as 'map pin' which would enable consultees to drop a pin in the digital map to provide location detail for any issued referred to in the responses.

It was commented that the use of a consultation platform should make it easier to engage with 'harder-to-reach' groups such as young people. Paper copies of the consultation document would also be available in the Council's temporary customer services centre, libraries and other locations throughout the Borough, and both email and paper responses to the consultation would also be accepted.

The following questions and comments were raised by Members during the discussion of this item:

 Grey Belt – It was clarified that the Grey Belt was defined as land within the Green Belt comprising previously developed land or as land located within the Green Belt that did not strongly contribute to the main purposes of the Green Belt designation. It was explained that at the moment it was uncertain how Grey Belt would need to be considered by planners. Within the Redditch's Issues and Options

Committee

Monday, 12th May, 2025

Consultation, developing on 'grey belt' was considered under option 2 in section 10.

- Assessment of suitable sites for the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Show-People Community – It was explained that this would be determined through this consultation process, including the assessment of need for this Community. A Member questioned the classification of travelling showpeople under the above category. In response it was explained that classification of communities was determined based on legal definitions but this would also be reviewed based on feedback from these communities on how they identified themselves.
- Areas available for development within Redditch A Member commented that there were opportunities to redevelop some areas within Redditch, such as Auxerre House or parts of Salters Lane. An example was cited of Auxerre House which was an extensive four-storey building that could be demolished and/or redeveloped to provide high quality urban housing. It was noted that the consultees would have the option to prioritise this type of 'urban renewal' development under option 1 of section 10 within the Issues and Options Consultation.
- Option for Members to attend meetings of the Planning Advisory Panel (PAP) remotely – The Officer confirmed he would find out whether remote attendance at future meetings of PAP could be accommodated (as hybrid meetings), or whether some meetings of PAP could be held entirely online.
- Actual numbers of houses built in Redditch versus central government annual house building targets – A Member requested information on the actual numbers of houses built in Redditch over the last few years and whether these numbers met central government targets for those years. The Strategic Planning and Conservation Manager undertook to provide Members with this data.
- Housing mix in the new Local Plan A Member commented that building a greater proportion of higher council tax band housing would be beneficial in terms of council tax income; however, it was noted that from planning perspective council tax return was not a material consideration and could not be considered in the development of the Local Plan. Members also commented that housing mix needed to be the right type

Monday, 12th May, 2025

Committee

for Redditch demographic, including young people who were entering the housing market and elderly residents.

- Mix of sites for development An observation was made that utilising a limited number of large sites would likely lead to slower rates of house building as opposed to choosing a number of sites spread across the Borough. It was commented that the expediting of house building would not necessarily be enforceable through the Local Plan but would require parliamentary legislation.
- It was highlighted that with the high house building targets there would need to be associated infrastructure and employment provided. It was noted that the employment numbers per area would be a metric set down by central government with the Council not having much option to adjust these figures.
- Promotion of the Issues and Options Consultation The Strategic Planning and Conservation Manager stated that the consultation would be advertised in the local papers and through a social media campaign. The consultation platform, 'Commonplace', would enable officers to see live response rates by postcode area, and focus groups could be organised over and above this 6-week consultation period. It was noted that a further email would be sent to all Councillors before the consultation launch, containing a link to the consultation document. Councillors could forward this email to residents to encourage responses.
- Local Government Reorganisation (LGR) and the district/borough level Local Plans A question was asked on the implications of LGR proposal to create unitary authorities in the existing two-tier local government areas and how this would impact the individual Local Plans created by the borough / district councils within the proposed unitary areas. It was responded that it was currently unknown how this issue would be resolved, for example if all plans would be amalgamated within a unitary local plan or whether existing local administrative geographies would continue to apply within the unitary area.
- Consideration of design costs and building regulations It
  was noted by the officer that whether the Local Plan would
  require new builds to simply comply with the design and
  building regulations or enforce additional requirements above

Monday, 12th May, 2025

Committee

and beyond those regulations was not a straightforward issue to answer at the moment and would depend among other things on consultation responses received from within the building and design industry.

On being put to the vote, the recommendations as set out in the report were endorsed.

#### RECOMMENDED that

- 1) The Council endorses Redditch Local Plan Issues and Options consultation document (Appendix A) for a sixweek public consultation period.
- 2) Delegated authority is given to the Assistant Director for Planning, Leisure and Culture Services following consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Planning, Regeneration and Governance to make any minor technical corrections and editorial changes deemed necessary to aid the understanding of the documentation prior to final publishing.

### 97. EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MINUTES AND SCRUTINY OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE'S WORK PROGRAMME - SELECTING ITEMS FOR SCRUTINY

The following items from the latest Executive Work Programme (1<sup>st</sup> June to 30<sup>th</sup> September 2025) were added to the Overview and Scrutiny work programme (as pre-scrutiny items):

- Disposal of Housing Revenue Account Assets 53 Parsons Road, Southcrest, Redditch. 53 Crabbs Cross Lane, Crabbs Cross, Redditch (9<sup>th</sup> June)
- Disposal of Housing Revenue Account Assets Four garages at Ashorne Close, Matchborough, Redditch (9<sup>th</sup> June)
- Regulator of Social Housing Inspection Report and Housing Improvement Plan (1<sup>st</sup> September)

The above reports would be added to the items already selected for pre-scrutiny at the 9<sup>th</sup> June and 1<sup>st</sup> September meetings, as detailed in the Overview and Scrutiny Work Programme.

The Executive Director confirmed that the Regulator of Social Housing would release the inspection report on 20<sup>th</sup> July, and thus

Monday, 12th May, 2025

Committee

the Housing Improvement Plan and the Regulator's Inspection report would be considered at September Committee meetings.

#### **RESOLVED** that

The Overview and Scrutiny Work Programme be updated with items from the Executive Committee's Work Programme as per the pre-amble above.

### 98. OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY WORK PROGRAMME

The Overview and Scrutiny Work Programme was presented for Members' consideration.

#### **RESOLVED** that

the Overview and Scrutiny Work Programme be updated with items from the latest Executive Work Programme, as agreed (at Minute No. 97).

### 99. TASK GROUPS, SHORT SHARP REVIEWS AND WORKING GROUPS - UPDATE REPORTS

Updates on the ongoing Task Groups and Working Groups were provided as follows:

a) Budget Scrutiny Working Group - Chair, Councillor Warhurst

There were no further meetings of Budget Scrutiny since the last meeting of Overview and Scrutiny on 10<sup>th</sup> March.

b) Performance Scrutiny Working Group - Chair, Councillor Warhurst

There were no further meetings of Performance Scrutiny since the last meeting of Overview and Scrutiny on 10<sup>th</sup> March.

 c) Fly Tipping and Bulky Waste Task Group – Chair, Councillor Dormer

It was confirmed that this Task Group had now been concluded and the final report would be submitted to the next meeting of Overview and Scrutiny.

d) Post-16 Education Task Group – Chair, Councillor Warhurst

Committee

Monday, 12th May, 2025

Councillor Warhurst reported that a further meeting of the Task Group was necessary before recommendations could be made and the Task Group finalised.

### **RESOLVED** that

the Task Groups, Short Sharp Reviews and Working Groups Update Reports be noted.

### 100. EXTERNAL SCRUTINY BODIES - UPDATE REPORTS

Update on the meetings of External Scrutiny Bodies were provided by the representatives as follows:

 a) West Midlands Combined Authority (WMCA) Overview and Scrutiny Committee – Council Representative, Councillor Kane

There was no update provided as Councillor Kane had submitted apologies.

 b) West Midlands Combined Authority (WMCA) Transport Delivery Overview and Scrutiny – Council Representative, Councillor Munro

Councillor Munro reported that the last meeting of WMCA Transport Delivery Overview and Scrutiny was held on 10<sup>th</sup> March 2025. At the meeting, Midland Rail Hub update was discussed, which had some details of relevance concerning the long-term delivery of rail services in Redditch.

Councillor Munro reported that redevelopment of the Birmingham Snow Hill station to add extra platforms would be a significant problem as the station was built below ground level. The Kings Norton to Barnt Green line would become 4-track, with electrification of fast lines and additional Kings Norton platforms. There were also plans to increase the volume of rail services going to and from Redditch station to Birmingham, however, it was highlighted that the business case for these Midland Rail Hub proposals would only be submitted in 2028.

With reference to the Redditch railway station, it was noted that before the covid pandemic there were three trains per hour operating from the station which had dropped to two services per hour following the covid pandemic.

Committee

Monday, 12th May, 2025

c) Worcestershire Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee (HOSC) – Council Representative, Council Munro

Councillor Munro reported that the last meeting of HOSC took place on 17<sup>th</sup> March 2025. At this meeting, the main item of relevance was the options for redesign of adult mental health rehabilitation services. All three options discussed at the meeting involved conversion of the now closed Hill Crest mental health unit to a level two rehabilitation unit, to provide local mental health rehabilitation services. Councillor Munro expressed hope that the unit would be truly local to Redditch residents, rather than a regional (i.e. all Worcestershire/Hertfordshire) centre for mental health rehabilitation services.

### **RESOLVED** that

the External Scrutiny Bodies updates be noted.

#### 101. EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC AND PRESS

It was agreed that exclusion of the public and press was not necessary in relation to Minute Item 102. DMIC (Digital Manufacturing and Innovation Centre) Additional Design Costs (AHR Architects Contract Variation) – Pre-Scrutiny, as the contents of the exempt appendix to the report would not be discussed in the meeting. The meeting remained in public session for its entire duration.

## 102. DMIC (DIGITAL MANUFACTURING AND INNOVATION CENTRE) ADDITIONAL DESIGN COSTS (AHR ARCHITECTS CONTRACT VARIATION) - PRE-SCRUTINY

The Regeneration Manager presented the report and provided background information to the report proposals. It was recapped that following a change in administration in 2024, decision had been taken by the Council to cancel the redevelopment of Redditch Library site, which initially left an underspend on the Town Investment Plan of £4.2 million.

In Autumn 2024, the Council's newly appointed regeneration team reviewed the business model for the Digital Manufacturing and Innovation Centre (DMIC) – one of the projects comprising the Town Investment Plan – and identified potential to expand the development and increase the project's financial viability by utilising the underspend from the cancelled library project.

Committee

Monday, 12th May, 2025

A decision was subsequently taken by the Town Deal Board to expand the development and increase the amount of net lettable floorspace in the DMIC. A Project Adjustment Request (PAR) was submitted and signed off by the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) to utilise the £4.2 million library underspend of which £3.937 million could be allocated to the DMIC project. It was noted that the Council's request for extended timescales for spending the Government's Town Deal funding had also been agreed by the MHCLG, which allowed the Council until the end of March 2027 to spend this funding.

It was explained that this report recommended the sign off of the additional design and project management costs associated with the expanded development, which would increase the amount of net lettable space and make the DCIM more sustainable with expanded benefits. The report asked for approval of allocating additional design cost up to a maximum of £1.45 million. It was clarified that this figure would include any contingency sums built into areas such as construction estimates. The report also asked for allocation of additional project management services costs up to a maximum of £250,000, including contingency costs.

It was explained that a further report would be brought forward for Members' consideration once designs were costed out and prior to appointment of a construction contractor. It was highlighted that all the funding utilised within the DMIC development was external funding, with no input from the Council's revenue or reserves.

Following officer presentation, a Member asked for detail regarding the increase in floor and net lettable space resulting from expanding the project. In response, it was stated that the expanded DMIC would be designed to have a gross floor area of 2600m<sup>2</sup> as opposed to 1900m<sup>2</sup> in the original project proposal. The net lettable space of the DCIM would also increase significantly as a result of expanding the development, to 17,000 ft<sup>2</sup>.

In response to a question, it was explained that the maximum £1.45 million design team costs factored in possible contingency costs that could arise.

The Committee was reassured that the Towns Deal programme was on track to have all the MHCLG funding fully utilised by the deadline of March 2027. In It was stated that there was no comparable deadline for the Council to spend the funding that was within the now defunct Greater Birmingham and Solihull Local Enterprise Funding (GBSLEP), In relation to the Council's funding

Page 17 Agenda Item 3

## Overview and Scrutiny

Monday, 12th May, 2025

Committee

within GBSLEP, it was explained that a bid would be submitted by June 2025 to recover this funding. It was reported by Officers that Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council (SMBC) had now recovered the funding it had in the GBSLEP funding 'pool' and Redditch Borough Council would be following a similar process to recover the funds that it had within GBSLEP.

In concluding this item, a Member commented on the impressive turnaround and positive prospects of the DMIC project.

On being put to the vote, the recommendations as set out in the report were endorsed.

### **RECOMMENDED to Executive Committee that:**

- 1) AHR Architects design team costs are increased up to a maximum of £1,450,000 for design of Redditch Digital Manufacturing and Innovation Centre (DMIC).
- 2) Costs for Gardiner and Theobold (G&T) project management services for the DMIC are increased up to a maximum of £250,000.

#### **RECOMMENDED** that the Executive Committee NOTE:

- Any draw down of contingency is subject to approval by the Deputy Chief Executive (Section 151 Officer) in conjunction with the Assistant Director for Regeneration and Property.
- 4) That the additional funding at recommendations 1 and 2 above utilises reallocated central government grant monies.

The Meeting commenced at 6.30 pm and closed at 7.32 pm

This page is intentionally left blank

Committee

9th June 2025

### **WORK PROGRAMME 2025-26**

| Date of<br>Meeting | Subject Matter  | Officer(s) / Member(s) Responsible for report |  |
|--------------------|---|---|--|
| ALL MEETINGS       | REGULAR ITEMS   | (CHIEF EXECUTIVE)                             |  |
|                    | Minutes of previous meeting                             | Chief Executive                               |  |
|                    | Consideration of the Executive Committee Work Programme | Chief Executive                               |  |
|                    | Call-ins (if any)                                       | Chief Executive                               |  |
|                    | Pre-scrutiny (if any)                                   | Chief Executive                               |  |
|                    | Task Groups / Short, Sharp Review Groups<br>– feedback  | Chair of Task Group / Short,<br>Sharp Review  |  |
|                    | Working Groups - feedback                               | Chair of Working Group                        |  |
|                    | Committee Work Programme                                | Chief Executive                               |  |

Committee

9<sup>th</sup> June 2025

| MEETING DATE                      | ITEM TO BE CONSIDERED  | RELEVENT LEAD  |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 9 <sup>th</sup> June 2025         | Health Inequalities in Redditch – Public Health Consultants Presentation   | Assistant Director Community and Housing Services                  |  |
| 9 <sup>th</sup> June 2025         | Shareholders' Committee Annual Report  – Pre-Scrutiny  | ittee Annual Report Deputy Chief Executive and Section 151 Officer |  |
| 9 <sup>th</sup> June 2025         | Housing Regulator Tenant Satisfaction<br>Measures – Pre-Scrutiny   | Assistant Director Environmental and Housing Property Services     |  |
| 9 <sup>th</sup> June 2025         | Housing Regulator Self-Assessment Complaint Handling Code – Pre-Scrutiny  Acting Housing Stra Enabling Manager   |  |  |
| 9 <sup>th</sup> June 2025         | Disposal of Housing Revenue Account<br>Assets – 53 Parsons Road, Southcrest,<br>Redditch. 53 Crabbs Cross Lane, Crabbs<br>Cross, Redditch (Pre-Scrutiny) | Housing Property Services<br>Manager                               |  |
| 7 <sup>th</sup> July 2025         | Disposal of Housing Revenue Account Assets – Four garages at Ashorne Close, Matchborough, Redditch (Pre-Scrutiny)  Housing Property Services Manager     |  |  |
| 7 <sup>th</sup> July 2025         | Fly Tipping and Bulky Waste Task Group Final Report  Chair of the Task Group   |  |  |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> September<br>2025 | Voluntary Sector Grants Scheme 2026/27<br>to 2029/30 – Pre-Scrutiny  | Assistant Director Community and Housing Services                  |  |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> September<br>2025 | Redevelopment of The Anchorage,<br>Smallwood – Pre-Scrutiny  | Acting Housing Strategy and Enabling Manager                       |  |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> September<br>2025 | Regulator of Social Housing Inspection<br>Report and Housing Improvement Plan  | Assistant Director Environmental and Housing Property Services     |  |

Committee

9th June 2025

### ITEMS IDENTIFIED BY OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE WHERE MEETING DATE IS STILL TO BE SCHEDULED OR A WRITTEN UPDATE HAS BEEN REQUESTED

| MEETING DATE                  | ITEM TO BE CONSIDERED   | RELEVENT LEAD  |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| TBC                           | Parking Enforcement – Management of Contract (Executive report to be scrutinised when it becomes available) | Assistant Director Environmental and Housing Property Services |
| Written update when available | Decarbonisation of the Council's Capital Programme – a written update to be circulated to Members           | Assistant Director Environmental and Housing Property Services |

Committee

9th June 2025

### **Working Groups:**

Budget Scrutiny Working Group

| MEETING DATE  | ITEM TO BE CONSIDERED |  |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| Meeting dates have now been confirmed and invitations sent to Members of the Working Group. |                       |  |

Performance Scrutiny Working Group

| MEETING DATE  | ITEM TO BE CONSIDERED |
|---|-----------------------|
| Meeting dates to be agreed with Members of the Working Group. |                       |

### **Task Groups**

### Fly Tipping and Bulky Waste Task Group

This Task Group has now concluded its investigation, and the final report is being reviewed by officers. It will be presented to the Overview and Scrutiny meeting on 7<sup>th</sup> July.

### Post-16 Education Task Group

The last meeting of this task group took place on 27<sup>th</sup> February 2025. The next set of meetings is being arranged following the start of the new municipal year.

### Parking Enforcement Task Group

At the Overview and Scrutiny meeting on 3<sup>rd</sup> February 2025, it has been proposed that this task group be set up following the conclusion of the Fly Tipping and Bulky Waste Task Group.

Members are asked to note that the Committee had previously looked at the issue of parking in the 2021-22 municipal year, when considering the terms of reference / scope of this review.

Members are also asked to note that the scoping document / terms of reference for this review will need to be submitted and agreed at an Overview and Scrutiny Committee meeting prior to the investigation being able to start.